

The opinion in support of the remand being entered today was **not** written for publication in a law journal and is **not** binding precedent of the Board.

Paper No. 37

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte SHIGERU FUJITA, NORIMITSU MUKAI,
MIHO YAMATO and KEIJI SADAI

Appeal No. 2001-1353
Application No. 08/488,413

REMANDING TO EXAMINER

An Information Disclosure Statement was filed on May 30, 2001 (Paper No. 36). The application is being returned to the examiner for consideration of the statement submitted and notification to the applicants to indicate if their submission meets the criteria as forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.97 and 1.98.

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the application is remanded to the Examiner for such consideration of the Information Disclosure Statement and for such further action as may be appropriate.

It is important that the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences be informed promptly of any action affecting the status of the appeal (i.e., abandonment, issue, reopening prosecution).

BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

By: _____
KIMBERLY JORDAN
Program and Resource Administrator
(703) 308-9797

KJ/dal

Appeal No. 2001-1353
Application No. 08/488,413

Page 3

Armstrong, Westerman, Hattori
McLeland & Naughton
1725 K Street NW
Ste. 1000
Washington, DC 20006